

# Introduction to Supermanifolds

Aaron Mazel-Gee

27 September 2011

“Witten in the 80s” student seminar

This talk is an introduction to supermanifolds — these are supposed to encode “odd fuzz” on regular manifolds. What you do is you enlarge the notion of “functions”: functions are usually sections of a trivial bundle, and we will instead enlarge that bundle.

## 1 Super Algebra

**Definition:** A super vector space is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded vector space (over  $\mathbb{R}$ )  $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ , the even and odd parts. Morphisms are grading-preserving linear maps. There is a “parity reversing functor”  $\Pi$ , for which  $(\Pi V)_0 = V_1$  and  $(\Pi V)_1 = V_0$ .

**Renato:** These are just the even maps? **Aaron:** You can reverse parity, which is how you can talk about the other maps.

This category has a  $\otimes$  structure, which makes it equivalent as a monoidal category to the usual category of representations of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ . But we choose different *commutativity isomorphisms*:

$$c_{V,W} : V \otimes W \xrightarrow{\sim} W \otimes V$$

$$v \otimes w \mapsto (-1)^{|w|\cdot|v|} w \otimes v \quad (\text{assuming } v, w \text{ homogenous})$$

We can now talk about super algebras, commutative super algebras — use the commutativity isomorphism, so “commutative” means “skew commutative on odd things” —, super modules, super Lie algebras, etc. We can then talk about  $\text{Ssym}^\bullet(V)$  and  $\text{S}\Lambda^n(V)$ .

We now introduce the Berezinian. It will connect with integration — it’s a generalization of determinant, and when you change variables in an integral, you need to introduce a determinant.

**Definition:** A free module over a superalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a module which is free as an ungraded module, but we do ask there to exist a homogenous basis.

**Example:**  $A^{p|q}$  is freely generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_p$  even and  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q$  odd. ◇

A morphism  $T : \mathcal{A}^{p|q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{r|s}$  will be given by a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{even} & \text{odd} \\ \text{odd} & \text{even} \end{pmatrix}$$

Given such a linear transformation, its *supertrace* is

$$\text{STr} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \text{Tr}(A) - \text{Tr}(D)$$

This is an even element of the underlying algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition:** Let  $L$  be a free module (of finite type) over a commutative superalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then we get a notion as above of  $\text{GL}(L)$ . The Berezinian is a homomorphism  $\text{Ber} : \text{GL}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0^\times$ . We ask it to satisfy:

1. If  $\epsilon$  is even and  $\epsilon^2 = 0$ , then  $\text{Ber}(1 + \epsilon T) = 1 + \epsilon \text{STr}(T)$ .
2. If  $T$  is diagonalizable  $T = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$  then  $\text{Ber}(T) = (\det A)(\det D)^{-1}$ .
3. If  $0 \rightarrow L' \rightarrow L \rightarrow L' \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence, and  $(T', T, T'')$  is an automorphism, then  $\text{Ber}(T) = \text{Ber}(T') \text{Ber}(T'')$ .

**Harold:** Which is the definition? **Aaron:** You can do this for arbitrary  $A, B, C, D$ , and it satisfies all of those, and we think those are enough to nail down the function.

Oh, I should have said: the canonical example of a supervector space is  $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^q)$  — this is a commutative superalgebra, where the parity of the number of tensors is the grading.

## 2 Super Manifolds

The right way to do this is with locally ringed spaces. We will write  $(|M|, \mathcal{O}_M)$ , where  $|M|$  is the topological space, and  $\mathcal{O}_M$  is the sheaf of functions. We say that such a locally ringed space is a *supermanifold* of dimension  $p|q$  if it is locally modeled on  $\mathbb{R}^{p|q}$ . Here  $\mathbb{R}^{p|q}$  is the sheaf on  $|\mathbb{R}^{p|q}| = \mathbb{R}^p$  which assigns to an open set  $U$  the ring  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^q)$ . Sometimes you ask for Hausdorff, second countable, etc.

Given  $M = (|M|, \mathcal{O}_M)$ , the *reduced supermanifold* is  $M^{\text{red}} = (|M|, \mathcal{O}_M/\text{Nil})$ , where Nil is the (sheaf of) ideal of nilpotent elements. **Renato:** So this is a manifold that we're used to. **Aaron:** Yes, it's an ordinary manifold. Or rather it's a supermanifold of dimension  $p|0$ .

**Zach:** Is this really an ordinary manifold, or can it have twisting? **Aaron:** It is a locally ringed space modeled on  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .

We always have  $M^{\text{red}} \hookrightarrow M$ . This is the map that is the identity on topological spaces, and the quotient of rings.

Let  $\mathbb{R}^q \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow X$  be a vector bundle over an ordinary manifold. Then  $\Pi E$  is the “oddification” of the vector bundle. It is a supermanifold with  $|\Pi E| = X$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\Pi E} = \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet E^*)$ , where  $E^*$  is the dual vector bundle, and so on. This has dimension  $p|q$  if  $\dim X = p$  as an ordinary manifold.

**Theorem (Batchelor ’79):** *We already described  $\Pi : \text{vector bundles} \rightarrow \text{supermanifolds}$ . We define a map  $J$  in the other way, which sends  $M$  to the vector bundle over  $M^{\text{red}}$ , with sections  $\Gamma(U, J(M)) = \text{Nil}(U)/(\text{Nil}(U))^2$ .*

*The theorem is that  $J \circ \Pi \cong \text{id}$  is a natural isomorphism of functors. For each object  $M$ ,  $\Pi \circ J(M) \cong M$ , but unnaturally so — so we get a bijection on objects between  $\{\text{vector bundles}\}$  and  $\{\text{supermanifolds}\}$ .*

*In fact, if  $\dim M = p|q$  for  $p \geq 1$  and  $q \geq 2$ , then there does not exist a contraction  $M \rightarrow M^{\text{red}}$  that is compatible with all automorphisms of  $M$ . There are more supermanifold-morphisms than vector bundle morphisms.*

**Theo:** Is it right to say that  $M^{\text{red}} \hookrightarrow M$  is a closed submanifold, and  $J(M)$  is the first-order neighborhood of  $M^{\text{red}}$ , like the pull back of the tangent bundle? **Aaron:** That sounds right.

**Theo:** Is Batchelor’s theorem true in the analytic category? I see how to prove it in the smooth case, but my proof would require partitions of unity. **Aaron:** Nobody I’ve read said it wasn’t true, but I’m not sure.

### 3 Functors of points

I gave a local characterization, but local things can be hard to work with.

Given  $S, M$  supermanifolds, we have a bijection between  $\text{SUPERMAN}(S, M) \cong \text{SUPERALG}(\mathcal{O}_M(M), \mathcal{O}_S(S))$ . This clearly only holds in the smooth category. We call the elements of  $\text{SUPERMAN}(S, M)$  the *S-points of  $M$* .

We think of arbitrary functors  $\text{SUPERMAN}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{SET}$  as “generalized” supermanifolds. It’s Yoneda’s lemma that the generalized supermanifold corresponding to a supermanifold is no loss of data.

**Example:**  $\underline{\text{SM}}(B, C)$  is the generalized supermanifold that sends  $A$  to  $\text{SM}(A \times B, C)$ . ◇

I.e.  $(C^B)^A = C^{A \times B}$ .  $3^2$  is the number of maps from the 2-element set to the 3-element set.

**Theorem:**  $\underline{\text{SM}}(\mathbb{R}^{0|1}, M) = \text{ITM}$ .

We will now define the right hand side.

First, and aside on vector bundles:

**Definition:** *A super vector bundle over a supermanifold is a locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  modules. This is the same as the usual non-super case.*

**Example:**  $\mathcal{T}M$  the tangent bundle is the sheaf that on  $U \in |M|$  gives  $\text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_M(U))$ . An *even derivation* of a superalgebra is an even linear map  $D$  satisfying  $D(fg) = D(f)g + fD(g)$ . An *odd derivation* is an odd map satisfying  $D(fg) = D(f)g + (-1)^{|f|}fD(g)$ . This gives the supermodule of derivations.  $\diamond$

**Definition:** The total space of  $\mathcal{E}$  has  $S$ -points  $E(S) = \{(f, g) \text{ s.t. } f \in \text{SM}(S, M) \text{ and } g \in \Gamma(S, f^*\mathcal{E}^{\text{ev}})\}$ .

Then  $\Pi : \text{SVect}_M \rightarrow \text{SVect}_M$  is the functor that reverses parities. It is  $\Pi\mathcal{E} = \underline{\mathbb{R}^{0|1}} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ , where  $\underline{\mathbb{R}^{0|1}}$  is the trivial bundle with fiber  $\mathbb{R}^{0|1}$ .

**Renato:** The reduced manifold of  $\mathcal{T}M$  is  $\mathcal{T}M^{\text{red}}$ . But you told me just a sheaf on  $M^{\text{red}}$ . **Theo:** He says that in general, a vector bundle over  $M$  is a sheaf over  $M^{\text{red}}$ . Then he said: any vector bundle has a total space, which might have a much larger reduced space than  $M$  has.

**Example:** The total space of  $\mathcal{T}M$  is  $\mathcal{T}M$ , and is  $2p|2q$ -dimensional.  $\diamond$

**Proof (of theorem about  $\mathcal{T}M$ ):**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SM}(S, \underline{\text{SM}}(\mathbb{R}^{0|1})) &= \text{SM}(S \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1}, M) && \text{defn of } \underline{\text{SM}} \\ &\cong \text{SUPERALG}(\mathcal{O}_M(M), \mathcal{O}_{S \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1}}(S \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1})) && \text{proposition} \\ &\cong \text{SUPERALG}(\mathcal{O}_M(M), \mathcal{O}_S(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^{0|1}}(\mathbb{R}^{0|1})) && \text{defn of } M \times M' \\ &\cong \text{SUPERALG}(\mathcal{O}_M(M), \mathcal{O}_S(S) \otimes \mathbf{E}(\theta)) && \mathbf{E}(\theta) = \text{exterior algebra on } \theta \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $\phi : \mathcal{O}_M(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(S) \otimes \mathbf{E}(\theta)$ . Write  $\phi = f + \theta g$ , where  $f, g : \mathcal{O}_M(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(S)$  are even, odd respectively linear maps. What is it to be an algebra map?

$$\begin{aligned} f(ab) + \theta g(ab) &= \phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b) = (f(a) + \theta g(a))(f(b) + \theta g(b)) = \\ &= f(a)f(b) + \theta(g(a)f(b) + (-1)^{|f(a)||\theta|}f(a)g(b)) = f(a)f(b) + \theta(g(a)f(b) + (-1)^{|a|}f(a)g(b)) \end{aligned}$$

So  $f$  is an algebra map, and  $g$  is an odd derivation with respect to  $f$ .

But  $\text{Der}_f^{\text{odd}}(U) = \Pi(\mathcal{T}M)(U)$ , so this is  $\text{SM}(S, \Pi(\mathcal{T}M))$ .  $\square$

The reason I like  $\mathbb{R}^{0|1}$  is that it (conjecturally) connects to homotopy theory. One goal in this class is to gain homotopical information from functors on the bordism category.

**Theorem:**  $0|1\text{-EFT}^n(M) = \begin{cases} \Omega_{\text{closed}}^{\text{even}}(M), n \text{ even} \\ \Omega_{\text{closed}}^{\text{odd}}(M), n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$ . So we can define  $0|1\text{-EFT}^n[M]$  by imposing that  $0|1\text{-EFT}^n$  is supposed to be a homotopy functor. You get even/odd de Rham cohomology of  $M$ , which is the same as that of  $M^{\text{red}}$ . So Euclidean Field Theories are a form of ‘‘cocycles’’ for de Rham cohomology.

**Theorem (Stolz-Teichner):**  $1|1\text{-EFT}^n[M] \cong K^*(M)$ .

This is very tantalizing. De Rham cohomology and  $K$ -theory are the 0th and 1st rungs on a ladder, called ‘‘chromatic homology’’.

**Conjecture (Stolz-Teichner):**  $2|1\text{-EFT}^n[M] \cong \text{TMF}^*(M)$ .