

# Minimal Koszul models

Alexander Berglund

This is a simultaneous generalization of Koszul algebras and Sullivan models. We will quickly cover a bit of the theory, and then proceed to give example applications.

## 1 Theory

Let  $\alpha : C \rightarrow P$  be a twisting morphism. This gives us the bar/cobar constructions as an adjunction  $\text{Hom}_{P\text{-alg}}(\Omega_\alpha C, A) \cong Tw_\alpha(C, A) \cong \text{Hom}_{C\text{-coalg}}(C, B_\alpha A)$ . Then,  $\kappa$  is a Koszul twisting morphism iff  $\phi_\kappa$  and  $\psi_\kappa$  are quasi-isomorphisms.

Now for  $P$  a binary Koszul algebra,  $A = P[V]/(R)$  for  $R \subset P(2) \otimes_{\Sigma_2} V^{\otimes 2}$ , and we get  $A^! \cong P^!((sV)^*)/(R^\perp)$ . We set up our examples.

**Example.** We have  $\alpha : (sCom)^* \rightarrow Lie$ . We can formulate the relationship between Sullivan's and Quillen's approaches in this language. If  $(AV, d)$  is a Sullivan model for some space  $X$ , then  $\kappa : (s(\Lambda, d))^* \rightarrow \lambda(X)$ , where  $\lambda(X)$  is Quillen's DGL.

**Example.** We have  $\alpha(sAs)^* \rightarrow As$ , and this gives us  $\kappa : C_*(X) \rightarrow X_*(\Omega X)$  (from a DGC to a DGA).

**Example.** Let us take  $E_n \sim C_*(\mathcal{D}_n)$  and  $G_n = H_*(\mathcal{D}_n)$ . Then by a recent theorem of Benoit Fresse, we have  $\alpha : (s^n E_n)^* \rightarrow E_n$ . For  $n = 1$ , we recover the example above. Now, if  $X$  is an  $E_n$ -algebra, then  $C_*(X)$  carries an  $E_n$ -coalgebra structure, and we have  $\kappa : C_*(X) \rightarrow C_*(\Omega^n X)$  (from an  $E_n$ -coalgebra to an  $E_n$ -algebra).

**Theorem.** If  $\kappa : C \rightarrow A$  is a Koszul twisting morphism of dg objects, then the following are equivalent:

1.  $A$  and  $C$  are formal.
2.  $A$  is formal and  $H_*(A)$  is a Koszul  $P$ -algebra.
3.  $C$  is formal and  $H_*(C)$  is a Koszul  $C$ -algebra.

**Corollary.** An algebra  $A$  is Koszul iff  $B_\alpha A$  is formal.

## 2 Examples

### 2.1 Rational homotopy theory

In our first example we obtain the following result.

**Theorem.** Suppose  $X$  is a 1-connected space of finite type. Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $X$  is formal (i.e.  $\mathcal{A}_{PL}^*(X) \sim H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$  as CDGAs) and  $X$  is coformal (i.e.  $\lambda(X) \sim \pi_*(\Omega X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  as DGLs).
2.  $X$  is formal and  $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$  is a Koszul commutative algebra.
3.  $X$  is coformal and  $\pi_*(\Omega X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is a Koszul Lie algebra.

A space satisfying these conditions will be called a Koszul space. Moreover, in this situation,  $\pi_*(\Omega X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})^! Lie$ .

One might say that in this example, Eckmann-Hilton duality reduces to Koszul duality.

If  $X$  is  $n$ -connected, then we obtain that  $A_n$ -algebra  $H_*(\Omega^n X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})^! G_n$ .

**Example.** Recall that  $\mathcal{D}_n(k) \simeq F(\mathbb{R}^n, k) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^k | x_i \neq x_j\}$ . This is a Koszul space. It has cohomology

$$H^*(F(\mathbb{R}^n, k); \mathbb{Q}) = \frac{\Lambda(\{a_{pq} : 1 \leq p < q \leq k, |a_{pq}| = n - 1\})}{(a_{pq}^2 = 0, a_{pq}a_{qr} + a_{qr}a_{rp} + a_{rp}a_{pq} = 0)}$$

These are called the *Arnold relations*. On the other side,

$$\pi_*(\Omega F(\mathbb{R}^n, k)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \frac{\mathbb{L}(\{\alpha_{pq} : 1 \leq p < q \leq k, |\alpha_{pq}| = n - 2\})}{([\alpha_{pq}, \alpha_{rs}] = 0, [\alpha_{pq}, \alpha_{pr} + \alpha_{qr}] = 0 \text{ for } \{p, q\} \cap \{r, s\} = \emptyset)}$$

These are called the *infinitesimal braid relations*.

But what if  $X$  is not formal or coformal? To analyze this situation, we begin with the following definition.

**Definition 1.** A *minimal Koszul model* for  $X$  (which is 1-connected and of finite type) is a CGDA  $(A, d)$  such that:

- $(A, d) \sim \mathcal{A}_{PL}^*(X)$  in **CDGA**.
- $A$  is a Koszul commutative algebra.
- $d$  strictly increases weight.

**Theorem.** Let  $(A, d)$  be a minimal Koszul model for  $X$ . Then there is a minimal  $L_\infty$ -algebra structure  $l = \{l_n\}_{n \geq 2}$  on  $A^1 \text{ Lie}$  and an  $L_\infty$  quasi-isomorphism

$$(A^1 \text{ Lie}, l) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lambda(X).$$

In particular, there is an isomorphism of graded Lie algebras  $\pi_*(\Omega X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong (A^1 \text{ Lie}, l_2)$ . Thus in a sense, we are lifting the previous theorem to the not-necessarily-formal case. Here,  $l_2$  depends only on the quadratic part  $d_2$  of  $d$ .

**Remark.** Any minimal Sullivan model is a Koszul model. Indeed, given  $(\Lambda V, d)$ ,  $\Lambda V$  is a Koszul commutative algebra, and  $\Lambda V^1 \text{ Lie} = (sV)^*$  (with abelian Lie structure). We recover the fact that  $\pi_n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong (V^n)^*$  from the theorem, since  $\pi_*(\Omega X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong (sV)^*$ .

This theorem gives us new information, too.

**Example.** Let  $X$  be a formal space with  $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) = \Lambda(u_3, v_3, w_3)/(uvw)$ . This cohomology is not quadratic so it cannot be a Koszul algebra, so  $X$  cannot be coformal.

Let us try to construct a Sullivan model. We resolve  $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$  into a free algebra as follows. We need  $y_8 \mapsto uvw$  to kill the relation, but then we get nontrivial cycles  $uy, vy$ , and  $wy$ . So we introduce  $a_{10} \mapsto uy, b_{10} \mapsto vy, c_{10} \mapsto wy$ . But this gives us the new cycles  $va + ub, wa + uc, wb + vc$ . The killing never stops! This is unpleasant. To continue this the killing would need to go on forever.

So instead, let us construct a Koszul model. The idea is that we stop resolving when we have Koszul relations. This gives us  $\Lambda(u, v, w, y)/(uy, vy, wy, y^2)$ , with only nonzero differential  $dy = uvw$ . Thus,  $\pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong (A^1 \text{ Lie}, l_2) \cong \mathbb{L}[\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \xi]/([\alpha, \beta], [\alpha, \gamma], [\beta, \gamma])$ . (This is finitely generated, despite the fact that it is infinite-dimensional.)

If a space admits finitely-generated Koszul model, then ???.

## 2.2 Hochschild cochains

Let  $P = As$ , and let  $R$  be an associative ring.

**Theorem L (e).** Let  $(A, d)$  be a minimal Koszul model for  $R$ . Then there is an  $A_\infty$ -algebra structure  $m = \{m_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  on  $A^1 \hat{\otimes} A$  and an  $A_\infty$ -quasi-isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}^\bullet(R, R) \xrightarrow{\sim} (A^1 \hat{\otimes} A, m)$ . If  $d = 0$  (or  $R = A$  then  $m$  reduces to  $m_1 = \kappa, -$ ),  $m_a m_2$  is the standard multiplication, and  $m_1 = 0$  for  $n > 2$ .

**Corollary.** If  $A$  is Koszul, then  $\mathbb{C}^\bullet(A, A) \mathbb{C}^\bullet(A^1, A^1)$  as  $A_\infty$  algebras.

**Example.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^{n+1})$  with  $|x| = -2, |y| = -2n - 1$ . Then we have the Koszul model  $(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(x, y), dy = x^{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} R$ , and  $\Lambda(x, y)^1 \cong \Lambda(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $|\alpha| = 1$  and  $|\beta| = 2n$ . The  $A_\infty$ -algebraic model for  $\mathbb{C}^\bullet(R, R)$  looks like  $(\Lambda(x, y) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda(\alpha, \beta), m)$  with

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(y) &= x^{n+1}, \quad m_1(\alpha) = (n+1)\beta x^n \\ m_r(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) &= \binom{n+1}{r} \beta x^{n+1-r} \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq n+1 \end{aligned}$$

(and  $m_r$  is linear in  $x, y, \beta$  for  $r \geq 2$ . The generating cycles are  $x, \beta, \zeta = (n+1)\beta y - \alpha x$  with relations  $x^{n+1} = m_1(y)$ ,  $(n+1)\beta x^n = m_1(\alpha)$ ,  $3x^n = m_1(\alpha y)$ . This all gives that

$$\mathbb{H}_*(LCP^n) = HH^*(R, R) \cong \frac{\Lambda(x_{-2}, \beta_{2n}, \zeta_{-1})}{(x^{n+1}, 3x^n, (n+1)\beta x^n)}.$$