

Model Categories

Lennart Meier & Irakli Patchkoria

Model categories are a way of abstracting homotopy theory. Whenever one has abstractions, one must have examples.

1 Examples

Example (Classical homotopy theory (**Top**)). There are two kinds of equivalences: homotopy equivalences and weak homotopy equivalences (for which definition we require f_* to be an isomorphism for all basepoints in the domain). We then have the famous

Theorem (Whitehead). *A weak equivalence of cell complexes is actually a homotopy equivalence.*

Here, a cell complex is slightly more general than a CW-complex. A *relative cell complex* is as follows. Suppose $A \subset X$. Then (X, A) is a relative cell complex if there is a sequence of spaces X_n such that $X_0 = A$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod S^{i_n-1} & \longrightarrow & X_{n_1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod D^{i_n} & \longrightarrow & X_n \end{array}$$

is a pushout square. (The generalization here is that smaller cells can be glued to higher cells.) Then we require $X = \text{colim } X_n$.

An important fact is that every space is weakly equivalent to a cell complex. Moreover, let (B, A) be a relative cell complex and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a Serre fibration and weak equivalence. Then in every commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow l & \downarrow f \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

there is a lift $l : B \rightarrow X$ making the two triangles commutative. (Recall that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *Serre fibration* if we always have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D^n & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow f \\ D^n \times I & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

These have long exact sequences in homotopy groups.)

We define the *homotopy category of topological spaces* $Ho(\mathbf{Top})$ to have $obj(Ho(\mathbf{Top})) = obj(\mathbf{Top})$ with morphisms the homotopy classes of maps: $Ho(\mathbf{Top})(X, Y) = [QX, QY]$, where QX is a cell complex weakly homotopy equivalent to X . (This definition does not depend on the choices of QX and QY , because it does not change the set of homotopy classes of maps.)

Example (Chain complexes of R -modules bounded below by 0: $Ch_{\geq 0}(R)$). There are again two notions of equivalence: a chain map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *chain homotopy equivalence* if there exists a chain map $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $fg \simeq id$ and $gf \simeq id$. Recall that $f, f' : X \rightarrow Y$ are *chain homotopic* (and we write $f \simeq f'$) if there exists a map $H : X_* \rightarrow Y_{*+1}$ such that $H\partial + \partial H = f - f'$.

We can write this differently. Let X and Y be chain complexes. Define $(X \otimes Y)_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} X_i \otimes Y_j$ with $\partial(x \otimes y) = \partial x \otimes y + (-1)^{|x|} x \otimes \partial y$. Then define the interval complex $R \rightarrow R \oplus R$ (in degrees 1 and 0) with differential given by $(1, -1)$. Then a chain map is just given by $\bar{H} : I \oplus X \rightarrow Y$.

The second kind of equivalence is called a *quasi-isomorphism*. $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a quasi-isomorphism if it induces isomorphisms $f_* : H_n X \rightarrow H_n Y$. Obviously every chain homotopy equivalence is a quasi-isomorphism. Moreover, we have the following

Theorem. *If X, Y are complexes of projectives, then f is a quasi-isomorphism iff it is a chain homotopy equivalence.*

One can similarly define the homotopy category of chain complexes. This is often called the *derived category*. $\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}(R) = Ho(Ch_{\geq 0}(R))$ has objects those of $Ch_{\geq 0}R$, and $D(R)(X, Y) = [QX, QY]$ where QX is a replacement of X by a module of projectives.

2 Model Categories

We now abstract the common features of these two examples to the notion of a model category. Let \mathcal{C} be a category admitting all small limits and colimits. Then a *model structure* on \mathcal{C} consists of three classes of morphisms: weak equivalences (in our examples, weak homotopy equivalences / quasi-isomorphisms), cofibrations (relative cell complexes / split inclusions (i.e. maps where the cokernel only consists of projectives)), and fibrations (Serre fibrations / degreewise epimorphism above degree 0). These must satisfy some axioms:

1. (*the 2 out of 3 property*) If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ are morphisms then if two of f , g , and gf are w.e.'s then the third is too.
2. (*retract axiom*) In the diagram of retracts

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ Z & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & Z, \end{array}$$

if f is a weak equivalence / cofibration / fibration, then the same holds for g .

3. (*lifting axiom*) Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

where f is a cofibration and g is a fibration. Then there must be a lift $l : B \rightarrow X$ making the two triangles commute if either f or g is a weak equivalence.

4. (*factorization axiom*) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Then there exist a factorization $p \circ i : X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Y$ such that i is a cofibration and p is a fibration, and we can choose either i or p to be a weak equivalence.

We call a *trivial fibration* a fibration which is also a weak equivalence. Similarly, we call a *trivial cofibration* a cofibration which is also a weak equivalence.

We say that cofibrations have the *left lifting property* w/r/t all trivial fibrations, and dually all fibrations have the *right lifting property* w/r/t all trivial cofibrations.

This corresponds to the lifting theorem we wrote down in the case of topological spaces.

Example. Here are a few examples of model categories:

- topological spaces with weak homotopy equivalences, retracts of relative cell complexes, and Serre fibrations
- chain complexes $Ch_{\geq 0}(R)$ with q_i 's, maps that are injective and have projective cokernel in each degree, maps that are surjective in every degree above 0
- simplicial sets
- diagram categories
- algebras over topological operads
- ...

Remark. We denote an initial object by \emptyset and a terminal object by $*$. Then for any space X we can apply the factorization axiom to the unique maps: $\emptyset \rightarrow X$ gives $\emptyset \rightarrow QX \xrightarrow{\sim} X$. If $\emptyset \rightarrow Z$ is a cofibration, then Z is called cofibrant. Similarly, $X \rightarrow *$ factorizes to $X \xrightarrow{\sim} RX \rightarrow *$. Then QX is called the cofibration replacement of X and RX is called the fibrant replacement of X . [Note that in **Top**, all objects are fibrant.]

Proposition. Every map having the LLP with respect to all trivial fibrations is a cofibration. (Dually, every map having the RLP with respect to all trivial cofibrations is a fibration.)

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map with the LLP w/r/t trivial fibrations. Factorize $X \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$. Then we get the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Z \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow \scriptstyle \simeq & \downarrow \\
 Y & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y
 \end{array}$$

This gives us the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X \\
 \downarrow f & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow f \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{\quad h \quad} & Z & \xrightarrow{\quad p \quad} & Y
 \end{array}$$

using the retract axiom. Thus f is a cofibration. □

In a general model category we define a *cylinder object* for $B \in \mathcal{C}$ to be a factorization of $B \amalg B \rightarrow B$ as $B \amalg B \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\sim} B$. (One should of course think of this as a cylinder.) Note that we get two maps $i_0, i_1 : B \rightarrow Z$ by the universal property of the coproduct. Then, we define a *homotopy* between $f, g : B \rightarrow X$ to be a map $H : Z \rightarrow X$ such that $f = Hi_0$ and $g = Hi_1$. A *homotopy equivalence* is defined as usual.

Proposition (generalization of Whitehead). *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map between cofibrant-fibrant objects. Then f is a homotopy equivalence iff it is a weak equivalence.*

Predefinition: Define the *homotopy category* $Ho(\mathcal{C})$ by $obj(Ho(\mathcal{C})) = obj(\mathcal{C})$ and $Ho(\mathcal{C})(X, Y) = [RQX, RQY] = \mathcal{C}(RQX, RQY) / \sim$. (One can check that RQX is still cofibrant.) We must check 3 things to make sure this makes sense. For cofibrant-fibrant objects:

1. homotopy equivalence is an equivalence relation;
2. $[RQX, RQY]$ is independent of choices;
3. composition is well defined (i.e. if we have homotopies of two composable maps, we get a homotopy of their composition).

Theorem. *Let $f, g : B \rightarrow X$. Then:*

1. If $f \sim g$ and $h : X \rightarrow Y$, then $hf \sim hg$.
2. If X is fibrant, $h : A \rightarrow B$, then $fh \sim gh$.
3. If B is cofibrant, \sim is an equivalence relation.

Assuming the replacements R and Q are actually functors, then the functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ho \mathcal{C}$ given by $X \mapsto RQX$ is the “minimal category” where all weak equivalences are sent to isomorphisms.

3 A taste of the proof that Top has a model category structure

Recall from before that we discussed a model structure on **Top**. We have that $p : E \rightarrow B$ is a trivial fibration iff it has a RLP with respect to $\{S^{n-1} \rightarrow D^n\}_{n \geq 0}$. The heart of today’s lecture is the following proposition.

Proposition. Any map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ can be factored as $f = pi$, where i is a cofibration and p is a trivial fibration.

There is a very general method for proving such statements, called *Quillen’s small object argument*.

Proof. We will construct inductively the following factorizations:

$$GAAAAAH$$

We begin with $Z_{-1} = X$. Now suppose we have constructed our diagram through Z_{n-1} . Consider the set \mathcal{D} of all possible commutative diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{m-1} & \longrightarrow & Z_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D^m & \longrightarrow & Y. \end{array}$$

We then form the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\infty} S^{m_{\alpha}-1} & \longrightarrow & Z_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{\infty} D^{m_{\alpha}} & \longrightarrow & Z_n. \end{array}$$

The universal property of pushouts then gives us a map $Z_n \rightarrow Y$. Moreover, note that the map $\coprod_{\infty} S^{m_{\alpha}-1} \rightarrow \coprod_{\infty} D^{m_{\alpha}}$ is a cofibration, so since the pushout of a cofibration is a cofibration then so is the map $Z_{n-1} \rightarrow Z_n$. So we define $Z = \text{colim}_n Z_n$. Then we get $X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Y$ factorizing f . We know that $X \rightarrow Z$ is a cofibration, and so it only remains to show that $Z \rightarrow Y$ is a fibration.

Now, recall that showing that $p : Z \rightarrow Y$ is a trivial fibration is equivalent to showing that it has the RLP with respect to $\{S^{m-1} \rightarrow D^m\}_{m \geq 0}$. So suppose we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{m-1} & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ D^m & \longrightarrow & Y. \end{array}$$

There is the basic point-set topological fact, coming from the fact that S^{m-1} is compact, that any map $S^{m-1} \rightarrow Z$

factors through some Z_{n-1} . So this gives us

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & Z_{n-1} \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow \\
 S^{m-1} & \longrightarrow & Z \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
 D^m & \longrightarrow & Y.
 \end{array}$$

So now we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S^{m-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Z_{n-1} \\
 \downarrow d_0 & & \downarrow pj_{n-1} \\
 D^m & \longrightarrow & Y
 \end{array}$$

which is an element of \mathcal{D} . This gives us

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S^{m-1} & \longrightarrow & Z_{n-1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 D^m & \longrightarrow & Z_n.
 \end{array}$$

Thus there exists a lift $D^m \rightarrow Z_n$, which when composed with $Z_n \rightarrow Z$ gives us our desired lift $D^m \rightarrow Z$. \square

4 Derived functors & model categories

Let R be any ring and suppose $M \in \mathbf{Mod}\text{-}R$ and $N \in R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$. Then we get the *Tor groups* $\mathrm{Tor}_n^R(M, N) = H_n(M \otimes_R P_*)$, where $P_* \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ is a projective resolution of N . We will reformulate this definition in the language of model categories.

The functor $M \otimes_R - : R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ induces a functor $M \otimes_R - : Ch_{\geq 0}(R) \rightarrow Ch_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{Z})$. We also have the homology functors $H_n : Ch_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{AbGrps}$, and we define the composite functor $F_n^\otimes = H_n \circ (M \otimes_R -)$. Thus $\mathrm{Tor}_n^R(M, N) = F_n^\otimes(P_*)$.

In the language of model categories, $P_* \rightarrow N$ is a projective resolution iff $P_* \rightarrow N$ is a trivial fibration and P_* is cofibrant. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 1. Let $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a functor off a model category \mathcal{M} which sends weak equivalences between cofibrant objects to isomorphisms. Then define the *left derived functor* of F to be the functor $LF : Ho(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which takes X to $F(QX)$ for any cofibrant replacement $QX \xrightarrow{\sim} X$.

Our functor $F_n^\otimes : Ch(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{AbGrps}$ satisfies the hypothesis of the definition, and so admits a left derived functor $LF_n^\otimes : \mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{AbGrps}$, and now we have that $LF_n^\otimes(N) = \mathrm{Tor}_n^R(M, N)$. So $\mathrm{Tor}_n^R(M, -)$ is the composition $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow Ch_{\geq 0}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{AbGrps}$. So the derived functor LF_n^\otimes contains much more information than the Tor groups themselves.

We will indicate why this is independent of cofibrant replacement. This follows from the following lemma.

Lemma (comparison lemma). *Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is any map and that QX and QY are any cofibrant resolutions.*

Then there is a unique $\tilde{f} : QX \rightarrow QY$ up to left homotopy making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QX & \xrightarrow{\sim P_X} & X \\ \tilde{f} \downarrow \dashv & & \downarrow f \\ QY & \xrightarrow{\sim P_Y} & Y. \end{array}$$

commute, and the left homotopy class of \tilde{f} depends only on the left homotopy class of f .

The easiest thing here is to construct \tilde{f} , which we do via the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & QY \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{f} & \downarrow \sim P_Y \\ QX & \xrightarrow{fP_X} & Y. \end{array}$$

Thus $f \simeq g$ implies that $F(f) = F(g)$.

Definition 2. Suppose we have an adjunction $F : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{N} : G$. This is called a *Quillen adjunction* if F preserves cofibrations and trivial cofibrations (or equivalently if G preserves fibrations and trivial fibrations). In this case we call F a *left Quillen functor* and G a *right Quillen functor*.

The equivalence of the definitions follows from the fact that commutative diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ FB & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

are in bijective correspondence to commutative diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & GX \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & GY. \end{array}$$

Example. There is a self-adjunction $F : Ch(\mathbb{K}) \rightleftarrows Ch(\mathbb{K}) : G$ given by $F = C \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} -$ and $G = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(C, -)$ for a fixed complex C . If C happens to be a complex of projectives, then this adjunction is a Quillen adjunction. For example, $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(C, -)$ preserves degreewise surjections.

Example. Given any model category \mathcal{M} , we have the category \mathcal{M}^ω of sequences $X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \dots$ in \mathcal{M} , and there is an adjunction $\text{colim} : \mathcal{M}^\omega \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M} : \text{const}$ (i.e. $\text{const}(Y) = Y \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \dots$). This is a Quillen adjunction.

Example. There is a Quillen adjunction between $|-| : \mathbf{sSet} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Top} : S(-)$.

We now have the following central theorem.

Theorem (Quillen's total derived functor theorem). *Suppose that we have a Quillen adjunction $F : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{N} : G$. We can define the composite functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathcal{N}}} Ho(\mathcal{N}) \\ \mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{G} & \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}} Ho(\mathcal{M}). \end{array}$$

These allow us to construct the derived functors $LF : Ho(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow Ho(\mathcal{N})$ and $RG : Ho(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow Ho(\mathcal{M})$. These functors are also adjoint.

Proof sketch. Suppose (without loss of generality up to isomorphism) that $X \in \mathcal{M}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{N}$ are both cofibrant-fibrant. Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{M})}(X, RG(Y)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{M})}(X, G(Y)) = [X, G(Y)] \cong [F(X), Y],$$

where the last isomorphism comes from the fact that Quillen functors respect homotopies (since they respect cylinder objects). Thus the above is

$$= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{N})}(F(X), Y) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{N})}(LF(X), Y).$$

□

Let us return to our examples. From the self-adjunction on $Ch(\mathbb{K})$ we get derived tensor product and derived hom. But this is uninteresting, because these are already their own derived functors! More interesting is the Quillen adjunction $\mathrm{colim} : \mathbf{Top}^\omega \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Top} : \mathrm{const}$. We obtain $L(\mathrm{colim})$, the *homotopy colimit*, which we can compute (up to homotopy). Take

$$X = X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots$$

Then $L\mathrm{colim} X \simeq \mathrm{Tel}_n X_n$ is the *mapping telescope*, defined by

$$\mathrm{Tel}_n X_n = \coprod_n X_n \times [0, 1] / (x, 1) \sim (f_n(x), 0) \text{ for } x \in X_n.$$

This has that $\pi_k(\mathrm{Tel}_n X_n) \cong \mathrm{colim}_n \pi_k X_n$, so the telescope really is the right homotopical notion of the colimit.

Lastly, we will define the correct notion of equivalence.

Definition 3. Suppose we have a Quillen adjunction $F : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{N} : G$. This induces an adjunction $LF : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{M}) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{N}) : RG$. We say that (F, G) is a *Quillen equivalence* if (LF, RG) is an adjoint equivalence of categories (i.e. the units and counits of the adjunction are isomorphisms).

This essentially tells us that the homotopy theories of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are somehow “the same thing”.

The most important example is that $|-| : \mathbf{sSet} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Top} : S(-)$ is a Quillen equivalence.